

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/640,369	08/17/2000	Victoria J. Freeman	0065292	5206
7:	590 06/26/2002			
KAUFMAN & CANOLES		EXAMINER		
ATTN: PETER A SHADDOCK II ONE COMMERCIAL PLACE			ASHBURN, STEVEN L	
P O BOX 3037				
NORFOLK, VA 23514			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			DATE MAILED: 06/26/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of ten many be waited under the provided and of the provided for regly specified above is last shar thity (30) days, a neply which the statulory minimum of thinty (30) days, will be considered timely. If the period for regly specified above is last shar thity (30) days, a neply which the statulory minimum of thinty (30) days, will be considered timely. If the period for regly specified above is last shar thity (30) days, a neply which the statulory minimum of the provided of the provided of regly specified of the provided		_		
Stamminer Art Unix 3714		Application N .	Applicant(s)	
Steven Ashburn 3714		09/640,369	FREEMAN, VICTORIA J.	()n
Period f r Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estendinos of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CPR. 1:36(a). In oevent, however, may a repty be timely fixed after SIX (b) MONTH'S from the maining date of this communication. Estendinos of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CPR. 1:36(a). In oevent, however, may a repty be timely fixed after SIX (b) MONTH'S from the maining date of this communication. Estendinos of time my be specified before the maining date of this communication. Fallura to repty within the set or extended period for repty will by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (b) US.C. § 133). Any may because by the Office Membrahes membrahe the maining date of this communication. even if timely fixed, may reduce any Status 1) Seponshive to communication(s) filled on 17 August 2000. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7b) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7c) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papors 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 August 2000 is/are: a) Secreted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 11 approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 b) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 12 proved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. I MED PROFESS OF THIS COMMUNICATION. I MED PROFESS OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for egyly period active of this communication. If the period for egyly period active is less than three monitory is dolly as you within the statutory prefront with apply and will acquire SIX (b) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. If the period for egyly period active is less than three monitors after the malling date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any examed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(s). Status 1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 August 2000. 2a) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is ron-final. 3) ☑ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) ☑ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 August 2000 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 August 2000 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 110 ☑ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 121 ☑ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 122 ☑ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 133 ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * C) ☐ None of: 140 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priori			1 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ederations of time may be valided under the provision of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the interval of the provision of t		ears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address	
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved by □ disapproved by the Examiner. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121 ARR SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER PRIMARY EXAMINER 10 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply b within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ○ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ○ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ○ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ○ The drawing(s) filed on 17 August 2000 is/are: a) ○ accepted or b) ○ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ○ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ○ approved b) ○ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ○ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ○ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ○ All b) ○ Some * c) ○ None of: 1. ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ○ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ○ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ○ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER PRIMARY EXAMINER 16) ○ Notice of References Cited (PTO-882) 27) ○ Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 A</u>	<u>ugust 2000</u> .		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.		
4) □ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 17 August 2000 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 12 MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER 11 ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 22 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 33 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under I			
5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 12 MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 12 MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 12 MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 12 MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER 15) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) □ Interview Summany (PTO-413) Paper No(6). □	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.			
6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 August 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. Aktachment(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 August 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 12 MARK SAGER PIMARY EXAMINER 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 August 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 12 MARK SAGER PIMARK SAGER PIMARY EXAMINER 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.			
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 August 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 August 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 17 August 2000 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER Attachment(s) PRIMARY EXAMINER 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 12 MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER Attachment(s) PRIMARY EXAMINER 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(5)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.		
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 August 2000</u> is/are: a	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			• • •	
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER Attachment(s) PRIMARY EXAMINER 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			proved by the Examiner.	
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·	aminer.		
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· •	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · ·	. Norman la companya di Paris		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Attachment(s) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			e N	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Altachment(s) PRIMARY EXAMINER 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_			
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·	
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 15) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application	1).
Attachment(s) PRIMARY EXAMINER 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		• •	120 and/or 121.	_
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)			ł
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/640,369

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Claim Objections

The claims are objected to because they include reference characters corresponding to elements or steps enclosed within parentheses. MPEP § 608.01(m) states:

Reference characters corresponding to elements recited in the detailed description of the drawings and used in conjunction with the recitation of the same element or group of elements in the claims should be enclosed within parentheses so as to avoid confusion with other numbers or characters which may appear in the claims. The use of reference characters is to be considered as having no effect on the scope of the claims.

Thus, the claims are objected to because the reference characters linking the claims are not considered within the scope of the claims. The claims should be rewritten to incorporate the referenced elements or steps without the parenthetical references.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim recites the limitation, "playing pieces that are represented in a computer form that can be displayed on a computer screen". The term "computer form" fails to distinctly define the claimed subject matter because it may be interpreted as either a physical shape or computer executable instructions.

Art Unit: 3714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by *Peppel*, U.S. Patent 6,200,216 B1 (Mar. 13, 2001). *See e.g. fig. 2-6; col.* 7:49-8:27, 10:46-58.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3714

Claims 1-4, 6-11 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peppel in view of McGuire, U.S. Patent 1,379,871 (May 31, 1921) and Schoolfield, U.S. Patent 1,991,468 (Feb. 19, 1935).

Peppel discloses an electronic trading card system in which players compete to collect sets of cards. The reference discloses the following features of the claimed subject matter:

- a. Dividing a theme comprising a correctly ordered sequence into a plurality of distinct parts, each part having no readily identifiable means for determining the correct sequence of the completed theme. See 3:33-57, 10:46-58, 12:22-48. (Claims 1, 18)
- b. Creating a plurality of playing pieces, each piece comprising one of the distinct parts. See col. 6:11-18.
- c. Distributing the plurality of playing pieces to members of the public. See fig. 6; 5:51-57.
- d. In response to receiving a correctly ordered set of playing pieces that represent a correctly ordered sequence, awarding a prize. See col. 7:58-8:27.
- e. Creating playing pieces comprising an advertisement. See col. 5:12-32, 7:48-57, 10:46-58. (Claims 2)
- f. Creating playing pieces comprising an advertisement having no apparent relationship to the theme. See id. (Claims 3)
- g. Creating playing pieces comprising a card having one of the distinct parts associated with an advertisement. See id. (Claims 4, 7, 13)
- h. Creating playing pieces that are represented in computer form that can be displayed on a computer screen and distributing the playing pieces through the Internet.

 See id. (Claims 6, 18)

Art Unit: 3714

- i. Distributing only some of the playing pieces during a first time period, then
 distributing remaining playing pieces during a later time period. See col. 6:19-53, 8:27 37. (Claims 8, 22)
- j. Distributing "helper" playing pieced that contain information regarding the correct sequence of one or more of the playing pieces. See fig. 4; col. 7:61-8:5, 9:23-30, 11:6-8, 11:37-41, 12:22-48. (Claims 9, 19)
- k. Intermittently displaying the playing pieces on each of a plurality of web sites. See col. 6:19-52, 7:58-8:54. (Claims 10, 20)
- l. Creating playing pieces each comprising an identifier that identifies the playing piece without identifying the correct sequence of playing pieces. See col. 5:14-17. (Claims 18)
- m. Distributing additional playing pieces by giving them away in physical form rather than through web sites. See 5:51-57, 8:17-37. (Claim 21)

As listed above, *Peppel* discloses all the features of the claimed subject matter except a creating game pieces based on literary works comprising a correctly ordered sequence of words. Regardless of the deficiencies, these features would have been obvious to an artisan at the time the invention was made in view of *McGuire* and *Schoolfield*.

McGuire discloses a puzzle game employing playing pieces that represent distinct parts of a complete plot, theme or story, wherein the plurality of playing pieces contain no readily identifiable means for determining the correct sequence. See p. 1, lines 9-30. To complete the game, the player must assemble the cards in their correctly ordered sequence. See p. 1, lines 30-35. McGuire teaches assembling a stories from a set of cards to offer an entertaining puzzle game requiring thought, judgment and imagination. See p. 2, lines 32-38.

Schoolfield discloses an analogous game wherein a plurality of legends, stories, poems, books and other forms of literature are selected and scenes drawn from the literature are depicted on cards.

Hence, Schoolfield suggests assembling sets of cards based on literary work comprising a correctly ordered sequence of words.

In view of *McGuire* and *Schoolfield*, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to modify the electronic card collecting system disclosed by *Peppel*, wherein players assemble associated sets of cards representing portions of a theme into complete sets to earn awards, to employ cards containing ordered portions of stories taken from literary works. The modification would enhance the thought, judgment and imagination required for *Peppel's* collection games and thereby enhance the players' enjoyment by employing cards based on poplar, familiar literature.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Peppel* in view of *McGuire* and *Schoolfield*, as applied to claims 1-4, 6-11 and 18-22 above, in further view of *Naeve*, U.S. Patent 4,309,835 (Jan. 12, 1982).

The trading card system suggested by the combination of *Peppel* with *McGuire* and *Schoolfield* describes all the features of the claimed subject matter except playing pieces that have a distinct part on one side and an advertisement on the other side. Regardless of the deficiency, this feature would have been obvious to an artisan at the time the invention was made in view of *Naeve*.

Naeve discloses a system for distributing promotional items such as trading cards. The reference discloses that it is known at the time of the invention to include advertisements on the trading cards such that the advertisements are obscured when the trading card is displayed in order to increase the card's value to the consumer. See col. 1:28-38. Thus, Naeve suggests creating trading cards containing advertisements wherein the card face is not obscured by the advertisement.

Application/Control Number: 09/640,369

Art Unit: 3714

In view of Naeve, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to modify

Page 7

the collection game system suggested by the combination of Peppel with McGuire and Schoolfield,

wherein game pieces contain both distinct parts and advertisements, to place the advertising on the other

side of the card from the distinct parts so that the advertisement does not obscure the game theme and

thereby yield greater value to the collector.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Steven Ashburn whose telephone number is 703 305 3543. The examiner can normally be

reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Valencia Martin-Wallace can be reached on 703 308 4119. The

fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9302

for regular communications and 703 872 9303 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general

nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist

whose telephone number is 703 308 1078.

Steven Ashburn.

June 16, 2002

MARK SAGER

PRIMARY EXAMINER